Provided for non-commercial research and education use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

http://www.elsevier.com/copyright



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics

Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics 61 (2008) 175-177

www.elsevier.com/locate/ppnp

The generalized parton distribution of the pion in a relativistic Bethe–Salpeter quark model

Review

A. Van Dyck^{a,*}, T. Van Cauteren^{a,b}, J. Ryckebusch^a, B.C. Metsch^c, H.-R. Petry^c

^a Department of Subatomic and Radiation Physics, Ghent University, Belgium
 ^b Dpto. Física Teórica I, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain
 ^c Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik, Bonn, Germany

Abstract

We present a Poincaré covariant calculation of the generalized parton distribution of the pion. Results for different values of the kinematical parameters are shown and discussed.

© 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Hadron physics; Bethe-Salpeter models; Generalized parton distributions

In the last decade, generalized parton distributions (GPDs) have played a key role in the exploration of hadron structure. We will focus on the vector GPD of the pion. For spin 1/2 partons, only one such GPD exists, in contrast with the four GPDs of the nucleon. Therefore, the pion is a good choice for an exploratory model calculation.

The pion's quark GPD is defined as follows [1]:

$$H_{\pi}^{q}(x,\xi,t) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dz^{-}}{2\pi} e^{ix\tilde{P}^{+}z^{-}} \left\langle \bar{P}' | \bar{\psi}^{q} \left(-\frac{z}{2} \right) \gamma^{+} \psi^{q} \left(\frac{z}{2} \right) | \bar{P} \rangle \right|_{z^{+}=z_{\perp}=0}.$$
 (1)

It can be shown that the skewedness ξ is constrained to the interval $[0, \xi_{\max}]$ with $\xi_{\max} = \sqrt{\frac{-t}{4M_{\pi}^2 - t}}$, while x is constrained to the interval $[-\xi, 1]$ for quark GPDs and $[-1, \xi]$ for antiquark GPDs. Outside these x intervals, the GPD should vanish. A model which resolves these intervals and yields a zero GPD otherwise, is said to have the correct support.

We adopt the Poincaré covariant constituent quark model which was developed at the University of Bonn [2]. The starting point of this model is the Bethe–Salpeter equation for a quark–antiquark system, bound by a confinement interaction and the 't Hooft instanton induced interaction. The latter works as a residual interaction, and is responsible for the strong binding of the pion. The propagators of the constituent quark and antiquark are approximated by Feynman propagators for spin 1/2 particles with a constituent mass. Moreover, the interactions do not depend on

* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* Annelies.VanDyck@UGent.be (A. Van Dyck).

^{0146-6410/\$ -} see front matter © 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.ppnp.2007.12.012

A. Van Dyck et al. / Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics 61 (2008) 175-177



Fig. 1. The generalized parton distribution for $M_{\pi} = 140 \text{ MeV}$ (top) and for $M_{\pi} = 572 \text{ MeV}$ (bottom). Values for t are $t = -0.1 \text{ GeV}^2$ (left) and $t = -1.0 \text{ GeV}^2$ (right). The full line corresponds to $\xi = 0$, the dashed line to $\xi = 0.3$ and the dotted line to $\xi = 0.7$.

the relative energy variables in the hadron rest frame (instantaneous approximation). Within this model, one is able to calculate static as well as dynamic quantities for the full $q\bar{q}$ mesonic spectrum.

Any model for the GPD of the pion will have to fulfill the sum rule for the electromagnetic form factor, $\int dx H_{\pi}^{q}(x,\xi,t) = F_{\pi}^{q}(t)$, and the isospin symmetry relation $H_{\pi}^{q}(x,\xi,t) = -H_{\pi}^{\bar{q}}(-x,\xi,t)$. As the quark model under study may suffer from a support violation [3], the following support parameter is defined for the quark GPD as a measure for the relative violation:

$$\phi = \frac{\int_{-\xi}^{1} |H_{\pi}^{q}(x,\xi,t)| dx}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |H_{\pi}^{q}(x,\xi,t)| dx}.$$
(2)

Results for H_{π}^{q} in our model are shown in Fig. 1. We adopt the full model and the model without the residual 't Hooft interaction. The latter gives a pion mass of $M_{\pi} = 572$ MeV. Values for t are t = -0.1 GeV² (left pictures) and t = -1.0 GeV² (right pictures).

Our numerical results point out that the isospin symmetry relation $H_{\pi}^q(x, \xi, t) = -H_{\pi}^{\bar{q}}(-x, \xi, t)$ is exactly fulfilled, hence Fig. 1 only shows the quark GPDs. Furthermore, the sum rule $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx H_{\pi}^q(x, \xi, t) = F_{\pi}^q(t)$ for the GPD indeed yields the electromagnetic form factor for all ξ . However, the integration domain already indicates that the support regions in x are not resolved. A closer look at the values of the support parameter of Eq. (2) for the curves of Fig. 1 shows that increasing |t| results in a better support (for $\xi = 0$: $\phi = 0.12$ at t = -0.1 GeV² versus $\phi = 0.19$ at t = -1 GeV²). The largest effect is caused by the binding energy: the smaller the binding energy, the better the support ($\phi = 0.64$ at t = -0.1 GeV²). Bearing in mind that the constituents have a mass of 380 MeV, it is seen that the binding energy for the pion with a mass of $M_{\pi} = 572$ MeV approaches the binding

A. Van Dyck et al. / Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics 61 (2008) 175-177

energy in the nucleon. As a consequence, we expect support to be much better for the nucleon than for the (physical) pion.

References

- [1] M. Diehl, Phys. Rep. 388 (2003) 41.
- [2] M. Koll, R. Ricken, D. Merten, B.C. Metsch, H.-R. Petry, Eur. Phys. J. A 9 (2000) 73.
- [3] A. Van Dyck, T. Van Cauteren, J. Ryckebusch, arXiv:0710.2271.